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Abstract—We investigate the impact of nonlinear damping on the dynamics of a nanomechanical doubly clamped beam. The beam is driven into nonlinear regime and the response is measured by a displacement detector. For data analysis we introduce a nonlinear damping term to Duffing equation. The experiment shows conclusively that accounting for nonlinear damping effects is needed for correct modeling of the nanomechanical resonators under study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE field of micro-machining is forcing a profound redefinition of the nature and attributes of electronic devices. This technology allows fabrication of a variety of on-chip fully integrated sensors and actuators with a rapidly growing range of applications. In many cases it is highly desirable to shrink the size of mechanical elements down to the nanoscale [1], [2]. This allows enhancing the speed of operation by increasing the frequencies of mechanical resonances and improving their sensitivity as sensors. Moreover, as devices become smaller, their power consumption goes down and their cost can be significantly lowered. Some key applications of nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS) technology include magnetic resonance force microscopy (MRFM) [3], [4] and mass-sensing [5]. Further miniaturization is also motivated by the quest for mesoscopic quantum effects in mechanical systems [6], [7], [8].

A key property of systems based on mechanical oscillators is the rate of damping. For example, in many cases the sensitivity of NEMS sensors is limited by thermal fluctuation which is related to damping via the fluctuation dissipation theorem. In general, a variety of different physical mechanisms can contribute to damping, including bulk and surface defects, thermoelastic damping, nonlinear coupling to other modes, phonon-electron coupling, clamping loss etc. Identifying experimentally the contributing mechanisms in a given system can be highly challenging, as the dependence on a variety of parameters has to be examined systematically. Nanomechanical systems suffer from low quality factors Q relative to their macroscopic counterparts [2]. This behavior suggests that damping in nanomechanical devices is dominated by surface properties, since the relative number of atoms on the surface or close to the surface increases as device dimensions decrease. This point of view is also supported by some experiments [9], [10]. However, very little is currently known about the underlying physical mechanisms contributing to damping in these devices.

In the present paper we study damping in a nanomechanical oscillator operated in the nonlinear regime. Nonlinear effects are of great importance for nanomechanical devices. The relatively small applied forces needed for driving a nanomechanical oscillator into the nonlinear regime is usually easily accessible. Thus, a variety of useful applications such as frequency synchronization, frequency mixing and conversion, parametric and intermodulation amplification [11], mechanical noise squeezing [12], and enhanced sensitivity mass detection [13] can be implemented by applying modest driving forces. Moreover, monitoring the displacement of a nanomechanical resonator oscillating in the linear regime may be difficult when a displacement detector with high sensitivity is not available. Thus, in many cases the nonlinear regime is the only useful regime of operation. However, to optimize the properties of NEMS devices operating in the nonlinear regime it is important to characterize the effect of damping in this regime.

The effect of nonlinear damping for the case of strictly dissipative force, being proportional to the velocity to the p'th power, on the response and bifurcations of driven Duffing [14], [15], [16], [17] and other types of nonlinear oscillators [18], [19], [16] have been studied extensively. For the present case we consider a Duffing oscillator having nonlinear damping force proportional to the velocity cubed. As will be shown below, this approach is equivalent to the case where damping nonlinearity proportional to the velocity multiplied by the displacement squared is considered (see Ref. [20]). We have recently studied a closely related problem of a nonlinear stripline superconducting electromagnetic oscillator [21], [22], where nonlinear damping was taken into account. With some adjustments, these results are implemented for the case of a nanomechanical nonlinear oscillator. To determine experimentally the rate of nonlinear damping, as well as the Kerr constant and other important parameters, we measure the response near the resonance in the nonlinear regime [23], [24]. Measuring these parameters under varying conditions provides important insights into the underlying physical mechanisms.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

For the experiments we employ nanomechanical oscillators in the form of doubly clamped beams made of PdAu (see Fig. 1). The bulk nano-machining process used for sample fabrication is similar to the one described in Ref. [24]. The dimensions of the beams are length 100-200μm, width...
0.25-1μm and thickness 0.2μm, and the gap separating the beam and the electrode is 5μm. Measurements of mechanical properties are done in-situ a scanning electron microscope, where the imaging system of the microscope is employed for displacement detection [24]. Some of the samples were also measured using an optical displacement detection system described elsewhere [12]. Driving force is applied to the beam by applying a voltage to the nearby electrode. With a relatively modest driving force the system is driven into the region of nonlinear oscillations [24], [25].
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The device consists of a narrow cantilever beam (length 200μm, width 1-0.25μm and thickness 0.2μm) and wide electrode. The excitation force is applied as voltage between the beam and the electrode.

III. EQUATION OF MOTION

We excite the system close to its linear fundamental mode. Ignoring all higher modes allows us to describe the dynamics using a single degree of freedom $x$.

The nonlinear equation of motion is

$$m \ddot{x} + 2b_1 \dot{x} + k_1 x + b_3 \dot{x}^3 + k_3 x^3 = -\frac{d \xi_{c_{ap}}}{dx},$$

where $m$ is the effective mass of the fundamental mode, $\xi_{c_{ap}} = C(x)V^2/2$ is the capacitance energy, $C(x) = C_0/(1-x/d)$ is the displacement dependent capacitance, $d$ is the gap between the electrode and the beam, $b_1$ is the linear damping constant, $b_3$ is the nonlinear damping constant, $k_1$ is the linear spring constant and $k_3$ is the nonlinear (Kerr) spring constant.

The applied voltage is composed of large DC and small AC components $V(t) = V_{DC} + v \cos(\omega t)$ where $v$ is constant and $v \ll V_{DC}$. Thus the equation of motion reads

$$(1 - x/d)^2(\ddot{x} + 2\gamma_1 \dot{x} + \omega_0^2 \dot{x} + \gamma_3 \dot{x}^3 + \alpha_3 x^3) = F(1 + 2f \cos(\omega t)),$$

where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k_1/m}$, $\gamma_1 = b_1/m = \omega_0/2Q$ ($Q$ being the mechanical quality factor), $\gamma_3 = b_3/m$, $\alpha_3 = k_3/m$, $F = C_0 V_{DC}^2/2md$ and $f = v/V_{DC}$.

IV. MULTIPLE SCALES APPROXIMATION

We use the standard multiple scales method to solve Eq. (1) [18], [26]. The harmonic excitation frequency is assumed to be close to the primary resonance

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sigma,$$

where $\sigma \ll \omega_0$ is a small detuning parameter. We also assume the linear damping coefficient $\gamma_1$, both coefficients of nonlinear terms, $\gamma_3$, $\alpha_3$, and $1/d$ to be small. Keeping terms up to the first order in the small parameters leads to the following form of the solution for $x(t)$

$$x(t) = \frac{F}{\omega_0^2} + (A(t) e^{i\omega_0 t} + c.c.),$$

where the first term in the right-hand side is a constant displacement due to electrostatic attractive force, and $A(t)$ is slowly varying envelope (on the time scale of $1/\omega_0$). The differential equation for $A(t)$ in this approximation is given by

$$2\omega_0 \left[ i \frac{dA}{dt} + (i\gamma_1 + \Delta\omega_0) A \right] + 3 \left( \alpha_3 + i\gamma_3 \omega_0^3 \right) A^2 A^* = F f e^{i\omega t},$$

where $\Delta\omega_0 = (3\alpha_3 F/\omega_0^3 - 2/d) F/2\omega_0$ is a small correction to the linear resonance frequency $\omega_0$.

The solution for $A(t)$ can be represented as

$$A(t) = ae^{i(\phi + \Delta\omega t)},$$

where $a$ and $\phi$ are real. Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (4) and separating real and imaginary parts one finds

$$-2\omega_0 a \frac{d\phi}{dt} + 3\alpha_3 a^2 = F f \cos(\phi - \Delta\omega t),$$

$$-2\omega_0 \left( \frac{da}{dt} + \gamma_1 a \right) - 3\gamma_3 \omega_0^3 a^3 = F f \sin(\phi - \Delta\omega t),$$

where $\Delta\omega = \sigma - \Delta\omega_0$ is the excitation frequency detuning from the shifted resonance frequency $\omega_0 + \Delta\omega_0$. In the steady state $a$ and $\phi - \Delta\omega t$ are constant and the following equation for the steady state response amplitude $a$ can be derived from Eq. (6)

$$9 \left( \alpha_3^2 + \gamma_3^2 \omega_0^6 \right) a^6 + 12\omega_0 \left( \gamma_3 \gamma_3 \omega_0^6 - \Delta\omega_0 \alpha_3 \right) a^4 + 4\omega_0^2 \left( \Delta\omega^2 + \gamma_1^2 \right) a^2 - F^2 f^2 = 0.$$

Equation of the same form was obtained in Ref. [21], where a superconducting oscillator having Kerr nonlinearity in addition to nonlinear damping was considered. All subsequent analysis is thus based on Ref. [21].

When $\gamma_3$ is sufficiently small the solutions of Eq. (7) behave very much like the ordinary Duffing equation solutions to which Eq. (1) reduces to when $b_3 = 0$ (see Fig. 2).

Interestingly enough, equations similar to Eq. (4) and Eq. (7) arise when the damping nonlinearity is considered to be proportional to velocity multiplied by the displacement squared (instead of velocity cubed)

$$m \ddot{x} + 2b_1 \dot{x} + k_1 x + b_3 x^2 \ddot{x} + k_3 x^3 = -\frac{d \xi_{c_{ap}}}{dx}.$$
are stable. Two of these solutions correspond to the system being on the edge of bistability, and one point corresponds to the system having infinite slope. In case $f > f_c$, three real solutions exist, no bistability is possible. In case $f = f_c$, the system is in bistable regime having two real solutions over some range of frequencies. Two of these solutions are stable.

V. SPECIAL POINTS

Referring to Fig. 2, we define some points in the $a^2$ vs. $\omega$ curves which we use in experimental data analysis.

The first point is the maximum response, shifted by $\Delta \omega_m$ from $\omega_0 + \Delta \omega_0$ and having the amplitude $a_m$. Differentiating Eq. (7) with respect to $\Delta \omega$ and demanding $d (a^2) / d \Delta \omega = 0$ yields

$$a_m^2 = \frac{2 \omega_0 \Delta \omega_m}{3 \alpha_3}.$$  \hfill (8)

Another point of special interest is the point where the jump in amplitude occurs and therefore the condition $d \Delta \omega / d (a^2) = 0$ must be satisfied. Applying this condition to Eq. (7) yields

$$27 \left( \alpha_3^2 + \gamma_3 \omega_0^3 \right) a^4 + 24 \omega_0 \left( \gamma_1 \gamma_3 \omega_0^3 - \Delta \omega \alpha_3 \right) a^2 + 4 \omega_0^2 \left( \Delta \omega^2 + \gamma_1^2 \right) = 0.$$  \hfill (9)

Eq. (9) has a single real solution at the point of critical frequency $\Delta \omega_c$ and critical amplitude $a_c$, where the system is on the edge of bistability. This point is defined by two conditions

$$\frac{d \Delta \omega}{d (a^2)} = 0,$$

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta \omega}{d (a^2)^2} = 0.$$  

In general, $\gamma_3$ is positive but $\alpha_3$ can be either positive (hard spring) or negative (soft spring). In our experiment $\alpha_3 > 0$. By applying these conditions one finds

$$\Delta \omega_c = \frac{\gamma_1}{3 \sqrt{3}} \frac{p + 3}{1 - p},$$  \hfill (11a)

$$a_c^2 = \frac{4 \gamma_1 \omega_0}{3 \sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{\alpha_3} \frac{1 - p}{1 - p},$$  \hfill (11b)

where $p = \sqrt{3} \gamma_3 \omega_0^3 / \alpha_3$. The driving force at this critical point is denoted in Fig. 2 as $f_c$. Note that bistable region is accessible only when $p < 1$.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL DATA AND RESULTS

A typical measured response of the fundamental mode of a 200µm (125µm) long beam occurring at $f_0 = 123.2$ kHz ($f_0 = 524.6$ kHz) measured with $V_{DC} = 20$ V and varying excitation amplitude is seen in Fig. 2(a) (Fig. 3(b)). We derive the value of $\gamma_1 = \omega_0 / 2Q$ from the linear response at low excitation amplitude and find $Q = 7200$ ($Q = 2100$).

Fig. 2. Steady state solutions under different excitation amplitudes $f$. In case $f < f_c$, only one real solution exists, no bistability is possible. In case $f = f_c$ the system is on the edge of bistability, and one point exists where $a^2$ vs. $\omega$ has infinite slope. In case $f > f_c$, the system is in bistable regime having three real solutions over some range of frequencies. Two of these solutions are stable.

![Graph showing steady state solutions under different excitation amplitudes](image)

![Graph showing measured response vs. frequency](image)
in nano-mechanical oscillators, which may help revealing the underlying physical mechanisms. This paper may allow a systematic study of nonlinear damping linear damping in nanomechanical doubly-clamped beam oscillations.

Fig. 4. Experimental results for $p = \sqrt{3} \omega_0 / \alpha_3$ vs. peak-to-peak excitation amplitude $V_{pp}$. (a) $200 \mu m$ long beam with fundamental mode occurring at $f_0 = 123.2$ kHz and $Q = 7200$. (b) $125 \mu m$ long beam with fundamental mode occurring at $f_0 = 524.6$ kHz and $Q = 2100$.

or

$$(2 h_1 + h_2) \Delta \omega_m \left( \frac{2}{3} + 1 \right) + 2 \left( \gamma_1 \frac{p}{\sqrt{3}} - \Delta \omega_j \right) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $h_1$ and $h_2$ are defined in Fig. 4. Due to the frequency proximity between the maximum point and the jump point at $\omega = \omega_0 + \Delta \omega_j$, the inaccuracy of such a calibration is small. Moreover, as long as excitation amplitude is high enough, $h_2$ is much smaller than $h_1$ and even considerable inaccuracy in $h_2$ estimation will not have any significant impact. This equation can be used to estimate $p$ for different excitation amplitudes. The results of applying Eq. (13) to experimental data from the two different beams can be seen in Fig. 4.

Using this procedure we find $p \approx 0.35$ for the $200 \mu m$ long beam and $p \approx 0.14$ for the $125 \mu m$ long beam. These results are identical to the values of $p$ estimated using Eq. (11a). Referring to Eq. (11) and Eq. (9) we see that in our system the damping nonlinearity is not negligible and has a measurable impact on both the amplitude and frequency offset of the critical point, as well as on jump points in the bistable region.

To determine the value of $\alpha_3$ we measure the static deflection of the beam’s center as a function of an applied DC voltage $V_{DC}$. From a fit to theory we find $\alpha_3 = \omega_0^2 \cdot 0.092 \mu m^{-2}$ for the $200 \mu m$ long beam.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have demonstrated conclusively that nonlinear damping in nanomechanical doubly-clamped beam oscillators may play an important role. The method presented in this paper may allow a systematic study of nonlinear damping in nano-mechanical oscillators, which may help revealing the underlying physical mechanisms.
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