Synchronization in an optomechanical cavity
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We study self-excited oscillations (SEO) in an on-fiber optomechanical cavity. Synchronization is observed when the optical power that is injected into the cavity is periodically modulated. A theoretical analysis based on the Fokker-Planck equation evaluates the expected phase space distribution (PSD) of the self-oscillating mechanical resonator. A tomography technique is employed for extracting PSD from the measured reflected optical power. Time-resolved state tomography measurements are performed to study phase diffusion and phase locking of the SEO. The detuning region inside which synchronization occurs is experimentally determined and the results are compared with the theoretical prediction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Optomechanical cavities [1–7] are widely employed for various sensing [8–11] and photonics applications [12–18]. Moreover, such systems may allow experimental study of the crossover between classical to quantum realms [2,19–28]. The effect of radiation pressure typically governs the optomechanical coupling (i.e., the coupling between the electromagnetic cavity and the mechanical resonator that serves as a movable mirror) when the finesse of the optical cavity is sufficiently high [2,4,27,29–31], whereas, bolometric effects can contribute to the optomechanical coupling when optical absorption by the vibrating mirror is significant [3,32–39]. Generally, bolometric effects are dominant in systems comprising of relatively large mirrors in which the thermal relaxation rate is comparable to the mechanical resonance frequency [36–38,40]. These systems [3,32,34,40–42] exhibit many intriguing phenomena such as mode cooling and self-excited oscillations (SEO) [1,28,34,37,40,43–45]. It has been recently demonstrated that optomechanical cavities can be fabricated on the tip of an optical fiber [46–55]. These micron-scale devices, which can be optically actuated [56], can be used for sensing physical parameters that affect the mechanical properties of the suspended mirror (e.g., absorbed mass, heating by external radiation, acceleration, etc.).

In the present study we optically induce SEO [8–11] by injecting a monochromatic laser light into an on-fiber optomechanical cavity, which is formed between a fiber Bragg grating (FBG) mirror, serving as a static reflector, and a vibrating mirror, which is fabricated on the tip of a single mode optical fiber. These optically induced SEO are attributed to the bolometric optomechanical coupling between the optical mode and the mechanical resonator [41,42]. We find that the phase of the SEO can be synchronized by periodically modulating the laser power that is injected into the cavity.

Synchronization [57], one of the most fundamental phenomena in nature, has been observed since 1673 [58] in many different setups and applications [59–64]. Synchronization in self-oscillating systems [65–71] can be the result of interaction between systems [72–78], external noise [79–86], or other outside sources, periodic [87–89] or non-periodic [90,91]. Synchronization can also be activated by delayed feedback [92–95].

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The optomechanical cavity shown in Fig. 1 was fabricated on the flat polished tip of a single-mode fused silica optical fiber with outer diameter of 126 μm (Corning SMF-28 operating at wavelength band around 1550 nm) held in a zirconia ferrule (see Ref. [53]). A 10-nm-thick chromium layer and a 200 nm gold layer were successively deposited by thermal evaporation. The bilayer was directly patterned by a focused ion beam to the desired mirror shape (20-μm-wide doubly clamped beam). Finally, the mirror was released by etching approximately 12 μm of the underlying silica in 7% HF acid (90 min etch time at room temperature).

The static mirror of the optomechanical cavity was provided by a fiber Bragg grating (FBG) mirror (made using a standard phase mask technique [104], grating period of 0.527 μm and length ≈8 mm) with the reflectivity band of 0.4 nm full width at half-maximum (FWHM) centered at 1550 nm. The length of the optical cavity was l ≈10 mm, providing a free spectral range of Δλ = λ_n^2/2n_effl ≈ 80 pm (where n_eff = 1.468 is the effective refraction index for SMF-28). The cavity length was chosen so that at least five cavity resonance wavelengths would be located within the range of the FBG reflectivity band. Despite the high FBG reflectivity (∼90%), the resulting cavity finesse was low (about 2) due to the high cavity losses (see Ref. [41] for detailed discussion of the cavity reflectivity spectrum). The most plausible source of losses is the light scattering on the rough etched fiber tip surface (micron size protuberances were observed below the suspended beam), giving rise to radiation loss.
FIG. 1. (Color online) Experimental setup. (a) A schematic drawing of the sample and the experimental setup. An on-fiber optomechanical cavity is excited by a tunable laser with modulated power. The reflected light intensity is measured and analyzed. (b) Electron micrograph of a suspended micromechanical mirror (false color code: blue—silica fiber, yellow—gold mirror, gray—zirconia ferrule), the view is tilted by 52°. (c) Spectral decomposition of the reflected light power $P_R$ vs. excitation wavelengths $\lambda_L$. The SEO, visible as sharp peaks (black regions on color map) in the reflected power spectrum, are obtained at optical excitation wavelengths corresponding to positive slopes of the sample’s reflectivity (shown by a dotted curve). The cavity resonance used in the synchronization experiments is denoted by a rectangle.

Monochromatic light was injected into the fiber bearing the cavity on its tip from a laser source with an adjustable output wavelength ($\lambda_L$, tunable in the range of 1527.6–1565.5 nm) and power level $P_L$. The laser was connected through an optical circulator, that allowed the measurement of the reflected light intensity $P_R$ by a fast responding photodetector. The detected signal was analyzed by an oscilloscope and a spectrum analyzer (see the schematics in Fig. 1). The experiments were performed in vacuum (at residual pressure below 0.01 Pa) at a base temperature of 77 K.

III. FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

The micromechanical mirror in the optical cavity is treated as a mechanical resonator with a single degree of freedom $x$ having mass $m$ and linear damping rate $\gamma_0$ (when it is decoupled from the optical cavity). It is assumed that the angular frequency of the mechanical resonator depends on the temperature $T$ of the suspended mirror. For small deviation of $T$ from the base temperature $T_0$ (i.e., the temperature of the supporting substrate) it is taken to be given by $\omega_0 - \beta T$, where $T_R = T - T_0$ and where $\beta$ is a constant. Furthermore, to model the effect of thermal deformation [34] it is assumed that a temperature dependent force given by $m \theta T_R$, where $\theta$ is a constant, acts on the mechanical resonator [39]. When noise is disregarded, the equation of motion governing the dynamics of the mechanical resonator is taken to be given by

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 2\gamma_0 \frac{dx}{dt} + (\omega_0 - \beta T_R)^2 x = 0 T_R. \quad (1)$$

The intracavity optical power incident on the suspended mirror is denoted by $P_L I(x)$, where $P_L$ is the injected laser power, and the function $I(x)$ depends on the mechanical displacement $x$ [see Eq. (3) below]. The time evolution of the relative temperature $T_R$ is governed by the thermal balance equation

$$\frac{dT_R}{dt} = Q - \kappa T_R, \quad (2)$$

where $Q = \eta P_L I(x)$ is proportional to the heating power, $\eta$ is the heating coefficient due to optical absorption, and $\kappa$ is the thermal decay rate.

The function $I(x)$ depends on the properties of the optical cavity that is formed between the suspended mechanical mirror and the on-fiber static reflector. The finesse of the optical cavity is limited by loss mechanisms that give rise to optical energy leaking out of the cavity. The main escape routes are through the on-fiber static reflector, through absorption by the metallic mirror, and through radiation. The corresponding transmission probabilities are respectively denoted by $T_B$, $T_A$, and $T_R$. In terms of these parameters, the function $I(x)$ is given by [41]

$$I(x) = \frac{\beta T (1 - \beta^2 T^2) \beta^2}{1 - \cos 4\pi x_0 + \beta^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $x_0 = x - x_R$ is the displacement of the mirror relative to a point $x_0$, at which the energy stored in the optical cavity in steady state obtains a local maximum, $\beta^2 = (T_B + T_A + T_R)^2/8$ and where $\beta$ is the cavity finesse. The reflection probability $R_C = P_R/P_L$ is given in steady state by [41,105] $R_C = 1 - I(x)/\beta$. The function $I(x)$ can be expanded as $I(x) = I_0 + I_0 \cos(\omega_0 t)$, where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to the displacement $x$.

Consider the case where the laser power $P_L$ is periodically modulated in time according to

$$P_L = P_0 + P_1 \cos(\omega p t), \quad (4)$$

where $P_0$, $P_1$, and $\omega_p$ are constants. When both $P_1$ and $I_0$ are sufficiently small, the following approximation can be employed

$$Q = \eta P_L I \approx \eta P_0 I + \eta P_1 I_0 \cos(\omega_p t). \quad (5)$$

For the case where $\kappa t \gg 1$, the solution of Eq. (2) can be expressed as

$$T_R = T_{R0} + T_{R1}, \quad (6)$$

where $T_{R0}$ is a solution of Eq. (2) for the case where the laser power is taken to be the constant $P_0$, and where $T_{R1}$, which is given by

$$T_{R1} = \frac{\eta P_1 I_0 \cos(\omega_p t - \phi_p)}{\sqrt{\kappa^2 + \omega_p^2}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\tan \phi_p = \omega_p / \kappa$, represents the temperature variation due to the power modulation with a fixed displacement.

Substituting the expansion (6) into Eq. (1), neglecting terms of second order in $\beta$ and disregarding the phase $\phi_p$ (i.e., shifting...
time by $\phi_p/\omega_p$) yield
\begin{equation}
\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + 2\gamma_0 \frac{dx}{dt} + \omega_m^2 [1 + \xi \cos (\omega_0 t)] x = f_{th} + f_c \cos (\omega_0 t),
\end{equation}
where $\omega_m^2 = \omega_0^2 - 2\omega_0 \beta T_{R0}$ is the temperature dependent angular resonance frequency, $\xi = -2\beta \eta P_1 I_0 / \omega_0 \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \omega_0^2}$ is the amplitude of parametric excitation due to laser power modulation [see Eq. (7)], $f_{th} = \theta T_{R0}$ is the thermal force, and $f_c = \theta \eta P_1 I_0 / \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \omega_0^2}$ is the force amplitude due to laser power modulation [see Eq. (7)]. Furthermore, as was mentioned above, the temperature $T_{R0}$ is assumed to satisfy [see Eq. (2)]
\begin{equation}
\frac{dT_{R0}}{dt} = \eta P_0 I(x) - \kappa T_{R0}.
\end{equation}

As can be seen from Eq. (8), modulating the laser power gives rise to two contributions, one representing parametric excitation with amplitude $\xi$ originating from the temperature dependence of the resonance frequency, and another representing direct forcing with amplitude $f_c$ originating from the thermal force term. Both these terms can be treated using the rotating-wave approximation (RWA) only when the angular frequency $\omega_0$ is chosen to be close to particular values. Two such values are considered below, $\omega_0$ and $2\omega_0$. When $\omega_0 \simeq \omega_1$, the effect of the direct forcing term is expected to dominate, whereas when $\omega_0 \simeq 2\omega_0$, the effect of the parametric term is expected to dominate. These two cases can be simultaneously treated by assuming that in Eq. (8) $\omega_0 = \omega_0 + \omega_2$ in the direct forcing term and $\omega_0 = 2(\omega_0 + \omega_2)$ in the parametric term, where $\omega_2 \ll \omega_0$ is the detuning.

The displacement $x(t)$ can be expressed in terms of the complex amplitude $A$ as $x(t) = x_0 + 2 \text{Re}(A e^{i\omega_0 t})$, where $x_0$, which is given by $x_0 = \eta \theta P_0 I_0 / \kappa \omega_0^2$, is the optically induced static displacement. Assuming that $A$ is small and it is slowly varying on the time scale of $\omega_0$, and applying the RWA yield a first order evolution equation for the complex amplitude $A = A_x + i A_y$, where both $A_x$ and $A_y$ are real [42], which can be written in a vector form as
\begin{equation}
\dot{\mathbf{A}} + \mathbf{\Phi} = \xi_R,
\end{equation}
where $\mathbf{A} = (A_x, A_y)$, the vector $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\Phi_x, \Phi_y)$, where both $\Phi_x$ and $\Phi_y$ are real, is given by
\begin{equation}
\mathbf{\Phi} = \nabla \mathcal{H} + \omega_0 (-A_x, A_y),
\end{equation}
the scalar function $\mathcal{H}$ is given by [106]
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H} = \frac{\Gamma_0 (A_x^2 + A_y^2)}{2} + \frac{\Gamma_2 (A_x^2 + A_y^2)^2}{4} + \frac{\omega_0 \xi}{4} A_x A_y - \frac{f_c A_y}{\omega_0}.
\end{equation}

In the absence of laser modulation, i.e., when $P_1 = 0$, the equation of motion (10) describes a van der Pol oscillator [99]. Consider the case where $\Gamma_2 > 0$, for which a supercritical Hopf bifurcation occurs when the linear damping coefficient $\Gamma_0$ vanishes. Above threshold, i.e., when $\Gamma_0$ becomes negative, the amplitude $A_x = |A| = \sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2}$ of SEO is given by $A_x = \sqrt{-\Gamma_0 / \Gamma_2}$.

Consider the case of vanishing detuning, i.e., the case where $\omega_2 = 0$, for which $\Phi = \nabla \mathcal{H}$. For this case, the Langevin equation (10) for the complex amplitude $A$ yields the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation for the PSD $\overline{P(A_x, A_y)}$, which can be written as [107,108]
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{P} \nabla \mathcal{H}) - \tau \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{P} \mathbf{\Phi}) = 0.
\end{equation}

FIG. 2. (Color online) The PSD as a function of modulation amplitude at resonance $\omega_0 = \omega_1$. The panels on the left exhibit the measured PSD whereas the panels on the right exhibit the calculated PSD obtained from Eq. (14). The modulation amplitude in (a), (b), (c), and (d) is $P_1/P_0 = 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$, $0.8 \times 10^{-3}$, $3.3 \times 10^{-3}$, and $6.7 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively. The following device parameters have been employed in order to calculate the PSD according to Eq. (14): $m = 1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ kg, $\omega_0 = 2\pi \times 225$ kHz and $(I_0 / \eta \delta_0)(1 + \kappa^2/\omega_0^2)^{-1/2} = 25$. The measured (calculated) normalized standard deviation $\sigma_A / \sigma_0$ for the distributions presented in (a)–(d) are 0.98 (0.98), 0.76 (0.60), 0.59 (0.25), and 0.08 (0.17), respectively.
where $Z$ is a normalization constant (partition function). We experimentally investigate the effect of laser power modulation for the above discussed two cases, i.e., $\omega_p = \omega_0$ and $\omega_p = 2\omega_0$, and compare the results to the theoretical prediction given by Eq. (14) [recall that Eq. (14) is valid only when the detuning vanishes, i.e., when $\omega_d = 0$. For both cases, the PSD is extracted from the measured off reflected cavity power using the technique of state tomography [53,96].

The results that are obtained with $\omega_p = \omega_0$ are seen in Fig. 2. For this case, the laser wavelength is $\lambda_L = 1545.641$ nm and the average power is $P_0 = 12$ mW (the data seen in Figs. 2, 3, and 5 was taken with the same values of $\lambda_L$ and $P_0$). The panels on the left exhibit the measured PSD whereas the panels on the right exhibit the calculated PSD obtained from Eq. (14). The fitting parameters, i.e., the parameters that are not directly measured, are $\kappa$, $\theta$, and $\beta$. For both cases, the PSD is plotted as a function of the normalized coordinates $A_x/\delta_m$ and $A_y/\delta_m$, where $\delta_m = \sqrt{\tau/\gamma_0}$. The relative modulation amplitude $P_1/P_0$ is increased from top to bottom (see figure caption for the values). The ring-like shape of the PSD, which is seen in the top panels, in which the relative modulation amplitude $P_1/P_0$ obtains its lowest value, changes into a crescent-like shape as $P_1/P_0$ is increased. While a PSD having a ring-like shape corresponds to SEO with a random phase, synchronization gives rise to a PSD having a crescent-like shape. The characteristic length of the crescent depends on both the modulation amplitude and the noise intensity in the system. The level of synchronization can be characterized by the normalized standard deviation $\sigma_\phi/\sigma_\phi$, where $\sigma_\phi$ is the standard deviation of the phase $\phi$ of SEO and where $\sigma_\phi = 3^{-1/2} \pi$ is the value corresponding to uniform distribution. The device parameters that have been employed in the theoretical calculation are listed in the figure caption.

The results that are obtained with $\omega_p = 2\omega_0$ are seen in Fig. 3. The relative modulation amplitude $P_1/P_0$ is increased from top to bottom (see figure caption for the values). For this case of modulation at $\omega_p = 2\omega_0$, synchronization gives rise to two preferred values of the phase of SEO, which differ one from the other by $\pi$, as can be seen from the double-crescent shape of both measured and calculated PSD (see Fig. 3).

V. DEPHASING AND REPHASING

The phase of SEO in steady state randomly drifts in time due to the effect of external noise. In addition, noise gives rise to amplitude fluctuations around the average value $A_{\phi_0}$. To experimentally study these effects, SEO are driven using the same parameters of laser power and wavelength as in Figs. 2 and 3. The off-reflected signal from the optical cavity is recorded in two time windows separated by a dwell time $t_d$. While the data taken in the first time window are used to determine the initial phase of SEO, the data taken in the second one are used to extract PSD by state tomography [53] using the initial phase as a reference phase. No modulation is applied in this experiment. The results are seen in Fig. 4 for
of Fig. 2. The panels on the left exhibit the measured PSD. The device parameters are the same as those given in the caption from numerically integrating the Fokker-Planck equation (13). The panels on the right exhibit the calculated PSD obtained and 0.39 (0.69), respectively.

Top panels in Fig. 5) to a PSD having a crescent-like shape that is obtained for a relatively short dwell time (see bottom panels).

FIG. 5. (Color online) Rephasing of SEO. The relative amplitude of the modulation, which is turned on at time \( t_0 = 1 \text{s} \) after the first time window, is \( P_1/P_0 = 0.01 \). The normalized dwell time \( y_{d1} \) in (a), (b), and (c) is \( y_{d1} = 0.05, 0.95, \) and 1.7, respectively. The device parameters are the same as those given in the caption of Fig. 2. The panels on the left exhibit the measured PSD whereas the panels on the right exhibit the calculated PSD obtained from numerically integrating the Fokker-Planck equation (13). The measured (calculated) normalized standard deviation \( \sigma_{\Phi}/\sigma_\Phi \) for the distributions presented in (a), (b), and (c) are 0.99 (1.0), 0.71 (0.80), and 0.39 (0.69), respectively.

Three different values of the dwell time \( t_d \) (given in the figure caption). While the left panels show the measured PSDs, the panels on the right exhibit the calculated PSDs obtained by numerically integrating the Fokker-Planck equation (13). The process of dephasing of SEO is demonstrated by the transition from a PSD having a crescent-like shape that is obtained for a relatively short dwell time \( t_d \) (see top panels) to a PSD having a ring-like shape that is obtained for a relatively long dwell time \( t_d \) (see bottom panels).

The opposite process to dephasing, which is hereafter referred to as rephasing, is demonstrated in Fig. 5. As was done in the previous experiment, the off-reflected signal from the optical cavity is recorded in two time windows separated by a dwell time, which is labeled for the current case as \( t_d \). In addition, power modulation at resonance (i.e., with \( \omega_p = \omega_0 \)) is turned on at time \( t_0 = 1 \text{s} \) after the first time window. The time \( t_0 \) is chosen to be much longer than the dephasing time, \( \Gamma_0 \), which is the monitor in time the process of rephasing.

Contrary to the case of dephasing (see Fig. 4), rephasing is demonstrated by the transition from a PSD having a ring-like shape that is obtained for a relatively short dwell time \( t_d \) (see top panels in Fig. 5) to a PSD having a crescent-like shape that is obtained for a relatively long dwell time \( t_d \) (see bottom panels).

VI. DETUNING RANGE OF PHASE LOCKING

The region in the plane of modulation frequency \( \omega_p \) and modulation amplitude \( f_c \) in which synchronization occurs can be determined by finding the fixed points of Eq. (10) and by analyzing their stability [98, 99]. Consider the case where \( \omega_p \gg \omega_0 \). For this case both the parametric term and the noise term are disregarded, and thus \( \Phi = (\Phi_x, \Phi_y) \) becomes [see Eq. (11)]

\[
\Phi_x = [\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_2(A_x^2 + A_y^2)]A_x - \omega_d A_y - f_c,
\]

\[
\Phi_y = [\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_2(A_x^2 + A_y^2)]A_y + \omega_d A_x.
\]

At a fixed point, i.e., when \( \Phi_x = \Phi_y = 0 \), the following holds:

\[
\mathcal{F}^2 = [(1 - A_x^2)^2 + D^2]A_x^2,
\]

\[
(17)
\]

where \( \mathcal{F} = f_c/A_0 \Gamma_0 \) is the normalized modulation amplitude, \( A = A_x/A_0 \) is the normalized radial coordinate, \( A_{0} = \sqrt{\Gamma_0/\Gamma_2} \) is the amplitude of SEO, and \( D = \omega_d/\Gamma_0 \) is the normalized detuning.

The Jacobian matrix is given by

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \Phi_x}{\partial A_x} & \frac{\partial \Phi_x}{\partial A_y} \\
\frac{\partial \Phi_y}{\partial A_x} & \frac{\partial \Phi_y}{\partial A_y}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The eigenvalues \( \lambda_{\pm} \) of \( J \) can be expressed in terms of the trace \( \text{Tr} \mathcal{J} = 2\Gamma_0(1 - 2A_x^2) \) and determinant \( \text{det} \mathcal{J} = \Gamma_0^2 \{3A_x^4 - 6A_x^2 + 1 + 3D^2 \} \) of \( J \) as

\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\text{Tr} \mathcal{J} \pm \sqrt{(\text{Tr} \mathcal{J})^2 - 4 \text{det} \mathcal{J}}}{2}.
\]

Hopf bifurcation occurs when \( \text{Tr} \mathcal{J} = 0 \), i.e., when

\[
A_x^2 = \frac{1}{2},
\]

and when \( \text{det} \mathcal{J} > 0 \), i.e., when \( A_x^2 < A_x^* \) or \( A_x^2 > A_x^* \), where

\[
A_x^* = \frac{1}{3} \pm \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{1 - 3D^2}.
\]

Hopf bifurcation is thus possible only when \( D > 0.5 \) [see Eq. (20)]. Furthermore, combining Eqs. (17) and (20) yields a relation between the modulation amplitude \( \mathcal{F} \) and the detuning \( D \) along the bifurcation line

\[
8\mathcal{F}^2 = 1 + 4D^2.
\]

The critical value \( \mathcal{F}_c \) of \( \mathcal{F} \) for which \( D = 0.5 \) at the end of the bifurcation line is given by \( \mathcal{F}_c = 0.5 \).

Steady-state bifurcation occurs when \( \text{det} \mathcal{J} = 0 \), i.e., when

\[
0 = 3A_x^4 - 4A_x^2 + 1 + 3D^2.
\]

Substituting the solution, which is given by

\[
A_x^* = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 3D^2},
\]

into Eq. (17) yields two branches

\[
\mathcal{F}_c^2 = \left[(1 - \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 3D^2})^2 + D^2 \right] (\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 3D^2}).
\]
Experimentally the region of synchronization is determined by measuring the standard deviation of the phase of SEO, which is labeled as $\sigma_\phi$, with varying values of the normalized detuning $D$ and normalized modulation amplitude $F$. The measured normalized standard deviation $\sigma_\phi/\sigma_u$ is plotted in Fig. 6. In the region of phase locking, $\sigma_\phi/\sigma_u \ll 1$, whereas $\sigma_\phi/\sigma_u \simeq 1$ outside that region. The solid line is the steady-state bifurcation line $F_c(D)$ [see Eq. (25)]. Theoretically, for $F > F_c = 0.5$ the region of phase locking is expected to be determined by the Hopf bifurcation line given by Eq. (22). However, this region is experimentally inaccessible with the laser used in our experiment due to limited range of modulation amplitude.

**VII. SUMMARY**

In summary, synchronization in an on-fiber optomechanical cavity is investigated. The relatively good agreement that is found between the experimental results and the theoretical predictions validates the assumptions and approximations that have been employed in the theoretical modeling. The investigated device can be employed as a sensor operating in the region of SEO. Future study will address the possibility of reducing phase noise by inducing synchronization in order to enhance the sensor’s performance.
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